SPI Measurements of Galactic ²⁶Al

R. Diehl¹, J. Knödlseder², G.G. Lichti¹, K. Kretschmer¹, S. Schanne³, V. Schönfelder¹, A.W.Strong¹, A.von Kienlin¹, G. Weidenspointner^{2,4,5}, C. Winkler⁶, and C. Wunderer¹

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, D-85741 Garching, Germany

 $\mathbf{2}$ Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements, 31028 Toulouse, France

DSM/DAPNIA/Service d'Astrophysique, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

⁵ Universities Space Research Association, Seabrook, MD 20706, USA

⁶ ESA/ESTEC, Science Operations and Data Systems Division (SCI-SD) 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

Received 15 Jul 2003; revised 31 Jul 2003; accepted 02 Sep 2003

 Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, D-3
² Centre d'Etude Spatiale des Rayonnements, 31028 To
³ DSM/DAPNIA/Service d'Astrophysique, CEA Saclay
⁴ NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD
⁵ Universities Space Research Association, Seabrook, M
⁶ ESA/ESTEC, Science Operations and Data Systems I
Received 15 Jul 2003; revised 31 Jul 2003; accepted 02 S
Abstract. The precision measurement of the 1809 keV is the SPI spectrometer on INTEGRAL with its Ge detected of this gamma-ray line, and its variation for different sout first part of the core program observations of the first m
²⁶ Al line at ~ 5–7 σ significance demonstrates that SPI with expectations from previous experiments, and the E by GRIS, more consistent with RHESSI's recent value. however, due to the multi-component background under signal from Galactic ²⁶ Al.
Key words. Nucleosynthesis – Galaxy: abundances – IS observational Abstract. The precision measurement of the 1809 keV gamma-ray line from Galactic ²⁶Al is one of the goals of the SPI spectrometer on INTEGRAL with its Ge detector camera. We aim for determination of the detailed shape of this gamma-ray line, and its variation for different source regions along the plane of the Galaxy. Data from the first part of the core program observations of the first mission year have been inspected. A clear detection of the 26 Al line at $\simeq 5-7 \sigma$ significance demonstrates that SPI will deepen 26 Al studies. The line intensity is consistent with expectations from previous experiments, and the line appears narrower than the 5.4 keV FWHM reported by GRIS, more consistent with RHESSI's recent value. Only preliminary statements can be made at this time, however, due to the multi-component background underlying the signal at $\simeq 40$ times higher intensity than the

Key words. Nucleosynthesis – Galaxy: abundances – ISM: abundances – Gamma-rays: observations – Methods:

mission (Winkler et al., 2003; Hermsen & Winkler, 2002). ²⁶Al gamma-rays were discovered already in 1982 by HEAO-C (Mahoney et al., 1982), and since then are considered direct proof of ongoing nucleosynthesis in the Galaxy. Several follow-up experiments have set out to measure details about ²⁶Al sources.

Much has been learned through the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory, in particular with COMPTEL's sky survey over 9 years, which resulted in an all-sky image in the 1809 keV gamma-ray line (Plüschke et al., 2001; Knödlseder et al., 1999; Oberlack, 1997; Diehl et al., 1995). This image clearly demonstrates that emission along the plane of the Galaxy dominates, hence $^{26}\mathrm{Al}$ nucleosynthesis is common throughout the Galaxy, rather than a local phenomenon of the solar system. The irregular structure of the emission and alignments of emission maxima with spiral-arm tangents suggested that massive stars dominate ²⁶Al nucleosynthesis (Chen et al., 1995;

Prantzos & Diehl, 1996). This could be further substantiated through comparisons with candidate source tracers, and through modelling of ²⁶Al emission from the Galaxy and specific source regions based on knowledge about the massive-star populations (Knödlseder et al., 1999; Knödlseder, 1999).

The high spectral resolution of Ge detectors of 3 keV (FWHM) at the ²⁶Al line energy of 1808.7 keV is expected to reveal more information about the sources and their location through Doppler broadenings and shifts, from Galactic rotation (Gehrels & Chen, 1996) and from dynamics of the ²⁶Al gas ejected into the interstellar medium. In particular after the GRIS balloon experiment and their report of a significantly-broadened line (Naya et al., 1996), alternative measurements of the ²⁶Al line shape were of great interest. GRIS's value translates into an intrinsic line width of 5.4 keV, equivalent to a Doppler broadening of 540 km s⁻¹. Considering the 1.04×10^6 y decay time of ²⁶Al such a large line width is hard to understand (Chen et al., 1997; Sturner & Naya, 1999). Other high-resolution measurements are in mild conflict with the GRIS result. The original HEAO-C measurement was interpreted as an intrinsicallynarrow line of width less than 2 keV (Mahoney et al., 1984), and the recent RHESSI measurement shows some

Send offprint requests to: R. Diehl

Correspondence to: rod@mpe.mpg.de

broadening, however at an intermediate value of about 2.0(+0.78, -1.21) keV FWHM (Smith, 2003), significantly less than the GRIS value. SPI on INTEGRAL (Vedrenne et al., 2003; Roques et al., 2003) with its competitive spectral resolution and the INTEGRAL core observing program (Winkler et al., 2003) emphasizing exposures of the inner region of the Galaxy is expected to clarify these questions through high-quality data. The initial calibration phase early in the mission, which used exposures of sources in the Cygnus region, had demonstrated an excellent performance of the instrument and even shown convincing evidence of detections of diffuse ²⁶Al emission from the Cygnus region, supporting these prospects. In this paper, we report initial analyses of the first part of the inner Galaxy region survey of the INTEGRAL core program.



Fig. 1. Pointings of the first part of the Galactic-Central-Deep-Exposure analyzed here

2. Observations, Data, and Analysis

The first year of the INTEGRAL mission will emphasize a deep survey of the inner part of the Galaxy, devoting about 4 Msec of exposure to this region, in a dedicated observing pattern characterized by a 2° pitch, extending $\pm 30^{\circ}$ in longitude and $\pm 20^{\circ}$ in latitude away from the Galactic Center (Winkler et al., 2003). Data sharing agreements within the INTEGRAL Science Working Team imply that results on Galactic ²⁶Al for the separate Galactic quadrants will not be addressed here, but reported in future papers. The data used for this initial analysis encompass INTEGRAL orbits 46-66 (mission days 1157–1216). Not all our analyses use the exactly same data, different selections were applied from early stages of processing; a minimum set comprises in total 839 pointings with 0.971 Ms integrated exposure livetime (see Figure 1).

Energy calibration during this time was derived by fitting instrumental background lines at energies 439, 585, 883, 1014, 1369, and 1779 keV as accumulated for each orbit. Calibrated single-detector events ("SE", triggering one single of the 19 Ge detectors), and the composite of single and multiple detector hits (SE+"multiple events, ME") were analyzed. Note that at the ²⁶Al line energy 40% of the measured events are multiples. A systematic uncertainty of our energy calibration at energies below $\simeq 150$ keV may however distort the energies assigned to multiple events. We therefore perform independent analyses per event type (SE, SE+ME), to check for such systematics which could lead to artifacts in the wings of spectral lines.



Fig. 2. Raw spectrum of Crab reference observations (SE). Background dominates the signal, with prominent instrumental lines at 1779 keV originating from 28 Al, at 1764 keV from 205 Bi, and at 1809-1811 keV from a blend of $^{26/27}$ Na and 56 Mn.



Fig. 3. Raw spectrum of Crab reference observations for multiple events (ME). The same instrumental lines are seen, slightly weaker if compared to continuum background.



Fig. 4. Raw spectrum of GCDE measurements (SE). The form of the instrumental-background spectrum is virtually identical to the Crab reference (Fig. 2), the signal expected from celestial ²⁶Al is at the percent level.

Background dominates the overall signal, (see Figures 2-4), so that in the ²⁶Al line region ($1809 \pm 4 \text{ keV}$) we measure 5×10^5 counts from the inner Galaxy, while our background reference is based on exposures of the Crab region providing 1.3×10^5 counts in the line-region. Continuum dominates, but $\simeq 17\%$ of the total signal is in a rather broad line-like feature around 1810 keV. This is mostly instrumental background, which needs to be understood before extracting the ²⁶Al signal: For the GCDE, we expect from COMPTEL measurements a signal strength of about 13000 counts or 2.7% of the total measured counts in the line region. Background is expected at 1808.7 keV from excited ²⁶Mg produced from spallation of Al and from α -captures on Na. and at 1810.7 keV from ${}^{56}\text{Mn}(\beta^{-}){}^{56}\text{Co}(EC){}^{56}\text{Fe}$, but other nuclear lines may contribute (Weidenspointner et al., 2003). Correlation analysis with other line features and cosmic-ray activation monitors is underway to model details of this underlying background, both in shape and intensity (e.g. Jean et al., 2003).

Data analysis is complicated due to the large number (839) of individual pointings, different measurement times of source and background, and detector and background evolution within and between them. For a strong source, one may hope to subtract a sufficiently well-defined background and then see a source signal. Indeed, when we use exposures from the Crab region, adjust for the different exposures and detector resolutions by normalization on the nearby background lines at energies 1764 and 1779 keV, and subtract this normalized "off-source" reference from the integrated spectrum measured from the inner Galaxy, we obtain an excess signal which indicates the presence of a celestial signal from ²⁶Al (Fig 5). The strong instrumental background lines do not perfectly subtract. The suppression by a factor $\simeq 90$, however, would correspond to residuals from the instrumental feature at 1809 keV

of less than half of what we observe; also, residuals appear in the wings of the instrumental lines, because our normalization does not trace time-variable gains or non-Gaussian detector degradation. The feature at 1809 keV, however, resembles the expected line more closely than background residuals: we indicate the expected 26 Al signal with a Gaussian at the 26 Al line energy and instrumental line width. Note that here we do not use SPI's imaging capabilities, so it is not surprising that a not very significant 26 Al detection is obtained (see Figure 5).

Imaging analysis makes use of the detailed response of the SPI instrument as is obtained from Monte Carlo simulations (Sturner et al., 2003), including the properties of the coded mask, the Ge camera, and all other material possibly interacting with incident gamma-rays. Calibrations before INTEGRAL's launch (Attie et al., 2003) and on Cyg-X1 and the Crab in the first part of the mission have verified the validity of this response (Roques et al., 2003; Sturner et al., 2003). Adopting an intensity distribution on the sky, one may thus predict the measured event distribution from ²⁶Al for the observed exposure pattern. Adding these to a suitable background model, one obtains a prediction for the actually-measured dataset. A fitting procedure can then be used to adjust intensity parameters of the ²⁶Al skymap and background components:

$$D_{i,j,E} = I_E \cdot S \otimes \Re + a_{j,E,k} \cdot B_{E,k} + \aleph_{i,j,E}$$

Here D are the measured data, S the sky intensity distribution, \Re the instrument response function, B the background model, \aleph the statistical noise, and indices are *i* for detectors, j for pointings, E for energy, and k for background model components. From such fits we obtain intensity spectra I_E of our sky model as constrained by our measurement. Several implementations (e.g. spidiffit/spi_obs_fit) of this analysis concept have been developed (Strong, 2003; Knödlseder, 2003), differing in the method of minimum searches and uncertainty estimates: The fit determines the mean of the intensity parameter posteriors through a Markov-Chain Monte Carlo method marginalizing over the background parameters (spidiffit), or alternatively minimizes the log-likelihood function through a Levenberg-Marquardt minimum search to fit spectral intensities (*spi_obs_fit*). Background amplitudes $a_{i,E,k}$ are fitted per pointing and energy from the measurement (*spidiffit*), or prescribed by an absolute model based on tracers of continuum and line background components (spi_obs_fit) . Error bars δI_E are determined as standard deviation from the mean with the same Markov-Chain Monte Carlo method (Strong, 2003) for the large number of fitted parameters in *spidiffit*, and with an eigenvalue analysis of the error matrix (Strong, 1985; Knödlseder, 2003) for the few fitted parameters in *spi_obs_fit*.

Estimates of the systematic uncertainties have been added in quadrature to these statistical uncertainties and are thus included in the error bars given in figures 6-8. We fit different models (k) of background, such as continuum background interpolated from adjacent energies, line backgrounds from scaled reference observations off the source of interest, and scaling models using suitable tracers of background such as the rate of saturatedsignal events in the Ge detectors; these are assumed to arise from cosmic-ray triggers which activate spacecraft material and hence generate nuclear-line background (Jean et al., 2003). Suitable models S of the ²⁶Al sky intensity distribution were adopted from the COMPTEL ²⁶Al all-sky results (Plüschke et al., 2001), and from distributions of free electrons or warm dust as derived from COBE measurements (see Knödlseder et al., 1999).

If the signal is sufficient, one may consider imaging analyses in narrow spectral bins, such as exercised for the case of the 511 keV emission from the inner Galaxy (Knödlseder et al., 2003); in our case, the signal is too weak to reasonably constrain such analysis with its intrinsically many free parameters. For instrumental background only, no or only modest correlation with a plausible ²⁶Al skymap is expected, while the correlation should be significantly better within the ²⁶Al line energy bins. However, systematic uncertainties in our background models lead to distortions of such a correlation. It is the main task of current analysis efforts to understand the level and possible spectral shapes of such systematic uncertainties.



Fig. 5. Residual spectrum of GCDE - Crab (SE), after normalization on the intensities of the 1764 and 1779 keV instrumental background lines, adjusting for detector degradation between the two observations. The residual excess around 1809 keV appears above the properlyscaled residuals from the instrumental background lines, which remain from imperfections in the subtraction process. Here the coded-mask imaging information is not used.

3. Results

From spectral analysis through fitting of adopted models for the ²⁶Al skymap over an energy range around the ²⁶Al line, we obtain clear detections of celestial ²⁶Al emission at the level of $5-7\sigma$. The results for the ²⁶Al flux, as well as details of the spectral signature, however, vary significantly with parameters of the analysis, and thus indicate the levels of uncertainty at this initial stage of the work; statistical uncertainties are negligable, in comparison.



Fig. 6. Imaging analysis result from fitting a sky intensity distribution as modelled from the COMPTEL ²⁶Al skymap to each energy bin. Background was modelled from Crab observation detector ratios, and fitted in intensity to the actual measurement for each pointing, together with the sky signal.



Fig. 7. Imaging analysis result from fitting a sky intensity distribution as modelled from the COBE/DIRBE dust skymap (240 μ m) to each energy bin. Background was modelled from adjacent energies for continuum, the Crab observation detector ratios were used for the line, and scaled by the rate variations of saturated events in the detectors to model the line background.

In Figure 6 we show a spectrum derived from all (single and multiple) events with *spidiffit* using the COMPTEL Maximum-Entropy map from 9 years of measurements as a model for the spatial distribution of the sky emission



Fig. 8. Same as Figure 7, but for the exposures of the LMC/SN1987A used as background reference.

	FWHM [keV]	$I [10^{-4} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$
uncertainty	0.7	1.4
fit value Fig. 6	2.1	3.3
fit value Fig. 7	3.1	3.3
fit value Fig. 8	3.1	4.7

Table 1. The range of values fitted for several analysis scenarios illustrates the range of systematic uncertainties.

(Plüschke et al., 2001). Given the rather modest spatial resolution of SPI, the particular choice of such distribution is not critical, as long as the dynamic range of fluxes and spatial distribution are approximately correct; any choice of good source tracers, such as the warm dust or free electron distributions (see e.g. Knödlseder et al., 1999), produce very similar results. Here we treat background by adopting the relative detector intensity ratios from the Crab exposures, and adjust their intensity for each pointing in the fit.

In Figure 7 we compare this to a spectrum derived from single events only (to avoid ME energy calibration issues), with spi_obs_fit and the COBE/DIRBE 240 μ m dust map as sky model, modelling background for the continuum from adjacent energy bins, and for the line component through taking detector ratios from the Crab exposures and absolute intensity variations from the rate of saturated events in the Ge camera during the actual GCDE measurements.

Figure 8 then illustrates how results depend on different datasets for the background: here the exposures taken for SN1987A were used as a reference to model detector ratios, in an otherwise analogous analysis with *spi_obs_fit*.

Our fitted sky intensity values (see Table 1) from the inner $\pm 30^{\circ}$ of the Galaxy are $(3-5)\times 10^{-4}$ ph cm⁻²s⁻¹, and thus fall into the range suggested by previous observations: The general consensus for the inner-Galaxy brightness of ²⁶Al is $\simeq 4 \times 10^{-4}$ ph cm⁻²s⁻¹, considering all uncertainties involved (Prantzos & Diehl, 1996); here "inner Galaxy" means integrating over the central radian, roughly $\pm 30^{\circ}$ in longitude about the Galactic Center.

COMPTEL had measured a value somewhat lower value of $2.8 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-4}$ ph cm⁻²s⁻¹ (Oberlack, 1997), with their background subtraction from high-latitude observations possibly suppressing large-scale diffuse flux components. On the other hand, RHESSI recently measured a rather high value of $5.7 \pm 0.54 \times 10^{-4}$ ph cm⁻²s⁻¹ (Smith, 2003) from earth occultation analysis of their measurements pointed at the sun.

The different values for our line positions, all somewhat higher than the expected value of 1808.7 keV, may indicate systematics in our energy calibration, the impact of detector degradation over the time of measurements, or some structured underlying background effect which may shift our signal upward by a few tenths of a keV. On the other hand, all line width results which we obtain are consistent with SPI's instrumental resolution of 3 keV (FWHM) and thus support RHESSI's recent finding (Smith, 2003) that the broad line reported by GRIS (Naya et al., 1996) probably cannot be confirmed. Work is in progress to refine our spectral treatment and background modelling, in order to be able to further quantify and substantiate this conclusion.

Acknowledgements. SPI has been completed under the responsibility and leadership of CNES. We are grateful to ASI, CEA, CNES, DLR, ESA, INTA, NASA and OSTC for support.

References

- Attie, D., Cordier, B., Gros, M., et al. 2003, A&A, this volume
- Chen, W., Gehrels, N., and Diehl, R. 1995, ApJ, 444, L57
- Chen, W., Diehl, R., Gehrels, N., et al. 1997, ESA-SP 382, 105
- Diehl, R., Dupraz, C., Bennett, K., *et al.* 1995, A&A, 298, 445
- Gehrels, N., and Chen, W. 1996, A&AS, 120, 331
- Hermsen, W., and Winkler, C. 2002, The INTEGRAL Mission, in Proc. of the XXII Moriond Astroph. Meeting
- Jean, P., Vedrenne, G., Roques J.-P., et al. 2003, A&A, this volume
- Knödlseder, J., Lonjou, V., Jean, P., *et al.* 2003, A&A, this volume
- Knödlseder, J. 2003, *spi_obs_fit* User Manual, to be found at http://www.cesr.fr/~jurgen/isdc/index.html
- Knödlseder, J., Bennett, K., Bloemen, H., et al. 1999, A&A, 344, 68
- Knödlseder, J. 1999, ApJ, 510, 915
- Knödlseder, J., Dixon, D., Bennett, K., et al. 1999, A&A, 345, 813
- Mahoney, W. A., Ling, J.C., Jacobson A.S., Lingenfelter R.E. 1982, ApJ, 262, 742
- Mahoney, W. A., Ling, J.C., Wheaton W.A., Lingenfelter R.E. 1984, ApJ, 286, 578
- Naya, J. E., Barthelmy, S.D., Bartlett, L.M., et al. 1996, Nature, 384, 44
- Oberlack, U. 1997, Ph. D. Thesis, Technische Universität München

- Plüschke, S., Diehl, R., Schönfelder, V., *et al.* 2001, ESA SP-459, 55
- Prantzos, N., and Diehl, R. 1996, Phys. Rep., 267, 1
- Roques, J.-P., Schanne, S., von Kienlin, A., *et al.* 2003, A&A, this volume
- Smith, D. 2003, ApJ, 589, L55
- Strong, A.W. 2003, *spidiffit* User Manual, to be found at http://isdc.unige.de
- Strong, A.W. 1985, A&A, 150, 273
- Sturner, S.J., Shrader, C.R., Weidenspointner, G., *et al.* 2003, A&A, this volume
- Sturner, S. J., and Naya, J. E. 1999, ApJ, 526, 200
- Taylor, J. H., and Cordes, J. M. 1993, ApJ, 411, 674
- Vedrenne, G., Roques, J.-P., Schönfelder, V., *et al.* 2003, A&A, this volume
- Weidenspointner, G., Kiener J., Gros M., *et al.* 2003, A&A, this volume
- Winkler, C., Courvoisier, T.C., DiCocco, G., et al. 2003, A&A, this volume