

CORONAL HARD X-RAY EMISSION ASSOCIATED WITH RADIO TYPE III BURSTS

SÄM KRUCKER,¹ P. SAINT-HILAIRE,¹ S. CHRISTE,^{1,2} S. M. WHITE,³
A. D. CHAVIER,⁴ S. D. BALE,^{1,2} AND R. P. LIN^{1,2}

Received 2008 February 5; accepted 2008 March 21

ABSTRACT

We report on a purely coronal hard X-ray source detected in a partially disk-occulted solar flare by the *Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI)* that is associated with radio type III bursts and a suprathermal electron event detected near 1 AU by the *WIND* 3-D Plasma and Energetic Particle (3DP) instrument. Several observational characteristics suggest that the coronal hard X-ray source is thin target bremsstrahlung emission from the escaping electrons that produce the radio type III bursts. The hard X-ray emission correlates in time with the radio type III bursts and originates from a radially elongated source in the corona with a length (~ 65 Mm) similar to typical coronal density scale heights. Furthermore, the difference between the hard X-ray photon spectral index ($\gamma = 4.1 \pm 0.4$) and the electron spectral index of the in situ observed event ($\delta_{\text{in situ}} = 2.9 \pm 0.3$) is around 1, consistent with the thin target interpretation. A further test for the thin target scenario is to compare the number of electrons needed to produce the observed hard X-ray emission with the number of in situ observed electrons. However, the number of escaping electrons derived from the single-spacecraft *WIND* measurement is in the best case an order of magnitude estimate and could easily underestimate the actual number of escaping electrons. Using the *WIND* observations, the estimated number of escaping electrons is about an order of magnitude too low. Thus, the thin target interpretation only holds if the *WIND* measurements are significantly underestimating the actual number of escaping electrons. Future multi-spacecraft observations with *STEREO*, *Solar Orbiter*, and *Sentinels* will resolve this uncertainty.

Subject headings: Sun: flares — Sun: particle emission — Sun: X-rays, gamma rays

1. INTRODUCTION

Acceleration of suprathermal (>1 keV) electrons on the Sun occur frequently in solar flares (e.g., review by Hudson et al. 2004) and coronal mass ejections (e.g., review Hudson et al. 2006), and also during many different kinds of burst seen in radio observations (Bastian et al. 1998). Several different acceleration mechanisms are proposed to explain suprathermal electrons, however, currently it is not understood how electrons are accelerated. Suprathermal electrons on closed magnetic field lines (i.e., both ends are connected to the Sun) eventually lose their energy and are thermalized. Collisions with the ambient plasma is a loss mechanism that is always present producing hard X-ray emission by the bremsstrahlung mechanism. Since the intensity of bremsstrahlung emissions is proportional to the ambient plasma density, hard X-ray emission is generally most prominent from chromospheric footpoints of flare loops, but much fainter coronal emissions are also present (e.g., Frost & Dennis 1971; Hudson 1978; Kane et al. 1992; Masuda 1994; Tomczak 2001; Sui & Holman 2003; Somov et al. 2004; Battaglia & Benz 2006; Krucker et al. 2007a, 2008; Krucker & Lin 2008). Electrons with access to magnetic field lines that are open to interplanetary space, however, can escape from the Sun, as the coronal column densities are generally too low to stop suprathermal (>10 keV) electrons. Hard X-ray bremsstrahlung emissions are also produced in this scenario, although at a much lower intensity than in chromospheric footpoints in the thin target approximation (e.g., Brown

1971). This emission is expected to trace the path of the escaping electrons and it is expected to have an elongated source shape with a FWHM length roughly equal to the density scale height in the corona (typically of the order of $\sim 10^{10}$ cm). However, thin target emissions of escaping electrons have not been positively identified.

There are two well-established methods of observing escaping electron beams. First, radio observations in the decimeter and longer wavelength ranges show emissions that drift rapidly from high to low frequencies as a function of time (so-called radio type III bursts, for a review, see Reiner 2001). Escaping electron beams are generally unstable to the bump-on-tail plasma instability and produce Langmuir plasma waves, that then interact with density fluctuations and other waves to generate radio waves at about the local plasma frequency. The frequency drift is produced as the unstable part of the electron beam moves away from the Sun from higher to lower densities resulting in emissions at progressively lower plasma frequencies. Second, escaping electron beams can be directly measured by in situ particle detectors (e.g., review by Lin 1985) providing measurements of electron spectra and rough estimates of the total number of escaping electron beams. Some of the in situ observed electron beams are temporally and spectrally related to solar flare hard X-ray emissions (Dröge 1995; Krucker et al. 2007b) and are therefore called prompt events, while other events are clearly delayed relative to the flare hard X-ray emissions and are speculated to be accelerated by coronal shocks (Krucker et al. 1999; Haggerty & Roelof 2002). The temporal and spectral correlation for prompt events suggests a common acceleration mechanism for the hard X-ray-producing electrons and the escaping electrons. However, the observed number of escaping electrons is typically only a fraction of a percent compared to the number of electrons in the flare (e.g., Lin & Hudson 1971). Therefore, the expected thin target hard X-ray emission of escaping electron beams is roughly

¹ Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-7450.

² Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-7300.

³ Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742.

⁴ Chavier Caamaño i Si Si!, 2704 Webster Street, Berkeley, CA 94705.




FIG. 1.—X-ray, radio, and electron flux time profiles of the 2006 November 21 event. (*left*) The top four panels show X-ray observations from *GOES* (*top*) and *RHESSI* at different energies as indicated. The 4–8 keV time profiles in the middle panel show the total count rate in black and the time profiles of the individual sources are given in blue and red (cf. Fig. 2). The bottom panel shows radio spectrogram from *GBSRBS*. (*right*) The top three panels give radio type III burst observations from *STEREO WAVES* and *WIND WAVES*, respectively, and the two panels below show electron fluxes observed by *WIND* 3DP near 1 AU. The arrows give the times of the images shown in Fig. 2.

3–4 orders of magnitude smaller than the thick target hard X-ray emission from downward moving electrons in the flare (e.g., Saint-Hilaire et al. 2008). Present-day hard X-ray imagers do not provide a large enough dynamic range to observe this. For totally disk-occulted flares, however, the sensitivity of the *Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager* (*RHESSI*; Lin et al. 2002) should be high enough to detect emissions from escaping electron beams, at least under favorable conditions (Saint-Hilaire et al. 2008). In this paper, we report the first detection and imaging of hard X-ray emissions temporally correlated with suprathermal electrons that produce radio type III bursts as they escape into interplanetary space.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

In 2006 November, AR 10923 produced more than 200 flares mostly below *GOES* C-class, but with unusually intense hard X-ray emissions compared to their thermal soft X-ray flux (Krucker et al. 2007c; Hannah et al. 2008; Nitta et al. 2008). After AR 10923 reached longitudes past W20, at least 18 solar impulsive electron events were detected near Earth by the 3-D Plasma and Energetic Particle (3DP) instrument (Lin et al. 1995) on board the *WIND* spacecraft. The last electron event is recorded on November 21 around 19:50 UT (Fig. 1, *right*) when AR 10923 was already clearly behind the solar limb. Extrapolating flare locations from




FIG. 2.—*RHESSI* X-ray imaging before (19:22:20 UT; *left*), during (19:23:46 UT; *middle*), and after (19:25:05 UT; *right*) the radio type III bursts. Thermal emission (4–8 keV) is shown in red contours (levels are 5, 10, 30, 50, 70, 90% of the peak intensity at 19:25:05 UT) with $10''$ FWHM resolution, and the 14–30 keV emission is given in blue (levels are 65, 75, 85, and 95 percent) with a resolution of $60''$. For the temporal evolution of the different sources compare with time profiles shown in Fig. 1.

times when AR 10923 was visible on the disk to the time of the last electron event indicate that the flare occurred $12.5^\circ \pm 2.3^\circ$ behind the solar limb, corresponding to an occultation height of about $24''$ (~ 17 Mm). The electron event is clearly seen down to 6 keV, but not below. The observed onset of the electron event seen near 1 AU is impulsive and shows clear velocity dispersion (Fig. 1, *right*), suggesting that the event is magnetically well connected to the flare site. This is rather unusual for a flare site 12° behind the solar limb, as the nominal Parker spiral for this event would connect to W66. However, differences between the nominal Parker spiral footpoint and the actual flare site of up to 90° have been reported (e.g., Wibberenz & Cane 2006; Klein et al. 2008).

Interplanetary radio observations by the WAVES instruments on board the *WIND* and *STEREO* spacecraft (Bougeret et al. 1995, 2008) show a single type III radio burst (Fig. 1, *right*) that correlates in time with the derived solar release (19 : 27 \pm 00 : 03 UT) of the energetic electrons seen near 1 AU (for discussion on derivation and systematic errors of onset times see Krucker et al. 1999; Lintunen & Vainio 2004; Saiz et al. 2005). The most intense in situ Langmuir waves are seen between 20:06 and 20:35 UT (Fig. 1, *right, third panel from top*) when 4–10 keV electrons start to arrive at *WIND* indicating that electrons at these energies produce the interplanetary radio type III burst. In the meter range, the Green Bank Solar Radio Burst Spectrometer (GBSRBS) reveals that there are two type III bursts that merge into a single burst in the interplanetary observations (Fig. 1, *left*). The radio emission starts at ~ 50 MHz corresponding to a plasma density of 3×10^7 cm $^{-3}$. This is a rather low density for an occultation height of only 17 Mm, suggesting either that the radio emission is not coming from just above the (projected) limb but rather from higher in the corona, or else it comes from unusually low density coronal field lines. At lower altitudes, the wave growth might be damped, or the radio emission is not able to escape in the direction to Earth. In either case, the derived value of 3×10^7 cm $^{-3}$ gives a lower limit to the density along the escape path visible from Earth.

2.1. X-Ray Time Profiles and Imaging

The thermal flare emission associated with the interplanetary electron event is difficult to see in the *GOES* time profiles, but *RHESSI* observes a clear increase in the 4–8 keV emission.

Before the type III bursts, a decaying hard X-ray source from the western limb ($972''$; $52''$) is seen (Fig. 2, *left*, and Fig. 1, *magenta curve*), while at the onset of the first type III burst a second source at the western limb appears (Fig. 2, *middle*, Fig. 1, *red curve*) that is about $55''$ south of the decaying source. Both sources are partially disk-occulted and elongated along the limb by $10''$. At the peak of the thermal emission, the density derived from the observed *RHESSI* emission measure (4×10^{45} cm $^{-3}$) and volume is of the order of 8×10^9 cm $^{-3}$. At the time of the type III bursts, the emission measure is difficult to derive, but it is smaller by about an order of magnitude implying a density that is about 3 times lower than during the peak.

Above 14 keV, *RHESSI* observes a second component which shows initially two peaks that have the same onset times as the two type III bursts seen at meter wavelengths, but the FWHM duration in hard X-rays is about 3 times longer than the type III burst duration at a given frequency. The 14–30 keV emission observed after the type III bursts around 19:25 UT is interpreted as a thermal emission because it is cospatial with the 4–8 keV thermal emission (Fig. 2, *right*) and has a very soft spectra ($\gamma \sim 8$). The two hard X-ray peaks correlated with the type III bursts are very weak, and can only be imaged with a reduced spatial resolution of $60''$ using *RHESSI* detectors 7–9 (Hurford et al. 2002). The 14–30 keV image shows a different source centroid than the thermal emission with a coronal location at an altitude of $\sim 50''$ above the limb (Fig. 2, *middle*). Furthermore, the source is extended in the radial direction with a FWHM length of $\sim 90''$ (~ 65 Mm) and is unresolved in the direction along the limb. The derived length is of the same order as typical coronal density scale heights, and corresponds to a temperature of ~ 1.3 MK in the barometric model, i.e., the hard X-ray images look much as we would expect hard X-rays from a Type III burst to look.

Around the time of the type III radio burst, the X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Golub et al. 2007) on board the *Hinode* mission (Kosugi et al. 2007) was observing with two filters (“Al-poly” and “medium Be”) with a five minute cadence. Figure 3 shows the “medium Be” images before and after the type III bursts including the difference image. The two hard X-ray sources seen by *RHESSI* are also detected in the XRT images, but they are saturated making further analysis difficult. In the difference image, weakly enhanced emissions are observed from in between the




FIG. 3.—*RHESSI* and *Hinode* X-ray imaging: XRT images are only available ~ 2 minutes before the type III burst (19:21:47 UT, *left*) and ~ 3 minutes after (19:26:48 UT, *center*). The difference image is shown to the right. The shown images are taken with the “medium Be” filter. Dark is enhanced emission and saturated pixel are shown in white. (Note that the exposure time is longer for the later image and the larger number of saturated pixels therefore does not necessarily indicated enhanced emission). The *RHESSI* 4–8 keV contours are the same in Fig. 2. Although there is a weak enhancement observed connecting the two main thermal sources, no X-ray jet is observed. The interpretation of the *Hinode* soft X-ray observations is unclear.

two sources. The relation of this weak brightening with the escaping electrons is unclear. Contrary to many other X-ray events related to impulsive electron events (e.g., Aurass et al. 1994; Wang et al. 2006; Pick et al. 2006), no X-ray jet is observed (Nitta et al. 2008). However, a possible X-ray jet could have been missed because of the 5 minute cadence.

2.2. Spectral Analysis

The cumulative radiation damage of the *RHESSI* detectors makes spectral fitting below 10 keV difficult (see Hannah et al.

2008 for details). However, here we are mostly interested in the spectrum of the elongated coronal source above 14 keV. The observed spectrum can be fitted with a power law with a slope of $\gamma = 4.1 \pm 0.4$ (Fig. 4, *left*). In the thin target approximation (e.g., Brown 1971), the hard X-ray producing electron beam would have a spectral slope of $\delta_{\text{hxr}} = 3.1 \pm 0.4$. This is similar to the spectrum of the in situ observed electrons that when fitted over energies above 20 keV gives $\delta_{\text{in situ}} = 2.9 \pm 0.3$, or, if fitted with a broken power-law fit, gives values of $\delta_{\text{in situ}} = 2.5 \pm 0.4$ below ~ 90 keV (Fig. 4, *right*).




FIG. 4.—*Left*: The background-subtracted hard X-ray spectrum averaged over 12 s around 09:50:50 UT is shown in black. The observations are by a fitted power-law function in the energy range between 14–25 keV (power-law index is $\gamma = 4.1 \pm 0.4$; *blue curve*). The gray line represents the background emission, and the panel below shows the normalized residuals of the fit. *Right*: Electron peak flux spectrum observed at 1 AU by WIND 3DP. Two fits to the data are shown: in black, a power-law fit to the data above 20 keV with a slope of $\delta = 2.9 \pm 0.3$, and in blue, a broken power-law fit with $\delta = 2.5 \pm 0.4$ below ~ 90 keV, and $\delta = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ above.

The instantaneous number of electrons needed to produce the observed hard X-ray emission in the thin target approximation is straightforward to derive, but depends on the ambient plasma density in the hard X-ray source. The start frequency of the radio type III burst gives a lower limit for the ambient density as mentioned above. An upper limit for the ambient density can be derived from the maximal column density that allows electrons to escape (e.g., Emslie 1978). For 20 keV electrons, the column density has to be lower than $\sim 6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and the density in the hard X-ray source of length $\sim 65 \text{ Mm}$ has to be below $\sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Using these limits, the instantaneous number of electrons above 20 keV, N_{inst} , in the hard X-ray producing source is $4 \times 10^{32} \ll N_{\text{inst}} < 1 \times 10^{35}$. Using the ballistic transit time in radial direction ($\sim 0.8 \text{ s}$) as a rough estimate for the time electrons spend in the hard X-ray source and a total duration of $\sim 20 \text{ s}$ of the hard X-ray emission, a total of $1 \times 10^{34} \ll N_{\text{total}} < 3 \times 10^{36}$ electrons above 20 keV are needed to produce the observed hard X-ray emission in the thin target approximation. For longer transit times (e.g., propagation at pitch angles different from zero), the column density increases and the number of needed electrons can be smaller. For comparison, we also mention here that in the thick target approximation, N_{total} becomes 9×10^{33} electrons above 20 keV.

To derive the number of escaping electrons from the in situ *WIND* observations is difficult because no information on the angular extent of the electron event exists. Furthermore, the observed electron flux is only a lower limit, because *WIND* could miss the peak flux and only observe at the flank of the event. The derived values here therefore are only order of magnitude estimates. Assuming a cone of 60° , the number of escaping $>20 \text{ keV}$ electrons, $N_{\text{in situ}}$, becomes 3×10^{33} . This is a typical value for an average-sized event (e.g., Lin & Hudson 1971), but less by at least an order of magnitude than what is needed to produce the observed hard X-ray emission in the thin target approximation.

3. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

This paper presents the first detection and imaging of a purely coronal hard X-ray source that is associated with escaping electron beams producing radio type III bursts. Several of the observed characteristics suggest that thin target X-ray emissions from the escaping electrons are seen:

1. The onset of the hard X-ray emissions is temporally correlated with type III radio bursts. The hard X-ray duration is longer by about a factor of 3, suggesting that only the front of the electron beam produces radio waves.
2. Hard X-ray imaging reveals a coronal source elongated in the radial direction with a source length similar to typical coronal density scale heights.
3. The hard X-ray photon spectral index is larger by ~ 1 than the in situ observed electron spectrum.

The only unclear point in the thin target interpretation is that the order of magnitude estimate of the number of escaping electrons is at least an order of magnitude too low to explain the intensity of the detected hard X-ray emission. However, this estimate is derived from a single-spacecraft measurement and it is therefore highly uncertain. Because the flare site is far away from the footpoint of the nominal Parker spiral, it could be possible that *WIND* measurements are not taken at the location of maximum flux, but at the flank of the event where the electron flux is expected to be lower. The event presented here is only an average-sized event with the largest detected events having fluxes up to ~ 50 times larger. It could therefore be possible that the derived

number of escaping electrons is significantly underestimating the actual value.

A smaller number of electrons to produce the observed hard X-ray emission would be needed if pitch angle scattering increases the time the electron beam spent in the hard X-ray source (in the estimates used above, ballistic “scatter-free” transport is assumed). In addition, the hard X-ray intensity might also be increased if collisional losses in the hard X-ray sources might become energetically important, at least for electrons at lower energies. The emission mechanism would then be a partially thick scenario and the number of electrons would be reduced. However, collisions would also change the electron spectral shape, at least at lower energies.

The influence of the different uncertainties are very difficult to estimate. Nevertheless, we tried to estimate their maximal influence: (1) Taking observations at the flank of the event could underestimate the number of electrons by maybe up to a factor of 10. (2) The angular extent of the electron event could be larger by a factor of 2. (3) Assuming an average pitch angle of the hard X-ray producing electron different from zero might reduce the number of needed electrons by a factor of 2. (4) A partially thick scenario that does not change the spectrum more than the observed uncertainties of the spectral results could make the number of needed electrons smaller by a factor of 2. Considering these factors, the number of escaping electrons could be consistent with the thin target scenario. However, the available data is not conclusive.

It should also be mentioned that the observations presented here cannot exclude the interpretation that the observed hard X-ray emission is produced by downward-moving electrons instead of outward-moving ones. In this scenario, the acceleration site is above the observed hard X-ray source and both up and downwards moving electron beams are created. The observed elongated partially disk-occulted hard X-ray source might still be produced in the thin approximation. However, to make the thin target interpretation work, the up and downwards moving electron beams would need the same spectral shape.

Favorable conditions made the detection of coronal hard X-ray emissions associated with radio type III bursts and an impulsive electron event possible: (1) the main flare emission was disk-occulted, but (2) magnetic field lines from the flare site were nevertheless well connected with the *WIND* spacecraft near 1 AU, and (3) the radio emission was able to escape to Earth. These conditions are only rarely fulfilled making it generally difficult for *RHESSI* to observe hard X-rays from escaping beams with simultaneous in situ observations from a near Earth orbiting spacecraft. However, a systematic search in the *RHESSI* and *Wind/3DP* data set is in preparation to investigate this in detail. Including multipoint *STEREO* observations will greatly help the search for more events, as conditions 2 and 3 can be relaxed. Although faint (i.e., same order of magnitude as the *RHESSI* background emission), many hard X-ray observations of escaping electron beams without simultaneous observations of impulsive electron events should exist in the *RHESSI* database. It is difficult to find these events because any additional X-ray emissions will hide the emission related to type III bursts. Nevertheless, a systematic search is planned including radio imaging observations by the Nancay Radio Heliograph. Future multispacecraft observations in the inner hemisphere by the *Solar Orbiter* and *Sentinels* mission will frequently provide simultaneous hard X-ray and in situ observations with up to a factor of ~ 15 higher X-ray sensitivity and will revolutionize our understanding of electron acceleration and transport.

The work was supported through NASA contract NAS 5-98033 for *RHESSI*, NASA LWS grant NNX06AC18G for scientific activities with GBSRBS, and Heliophysics GI award NNX07AH76G. *STEREO* WAVES data analysis at UCB is support by NASA grant NNX07AD91G to the University of California. *Hinode* is a

Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, with NAOJ as domestic partner and NASA and STFC (UK) as international partners. It is operated by these agencies in cooperation with ESA and NSC (Norway). We would like to thank the *Hinode* team for making their data available online.

REFERENCES

- Aurass, H., Klein, K.-L., & Martens, P. C. H. 1994, *Sol. Phys.*, 155, 203
 Battaglia, M., & Benz, A. O. 2006, *A&A*, 456, 751
 Bougeret, J.-L., et al. 1995, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 71, 231
 ———. 2008, *Space Sci. Rev.*, in press
 Bastian, T. S., Benz, A. O., & Gary, D. E. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 131
 Brown, J. C. 1971, *Sol. Phys.*, 18, 489
 Dröge, W. 1995, *Proc. 24th Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (Rome)*, 4, 191
 Emslie, A. G. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 241
 Frost, K. J., & Dennis, B. R. 1971, *ApJ*, 165, 655
 Golub, L., Austin, G., Bookbinder, J., Caldwell, D., Cheimets, P., & Cirtain, J., 2007, *Sol. Phys.*, 243, 63
 Haggerty, D. K. and Roelof, E. C. 2002, *ApJ*, 579, 841
 Hannah, I. G., Krucker, S., Hudson, H. S., Christie, S., & Lin, R. P. 2008, *A&A*, 481, L45
 Hudson, H. S. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 235
 Hudson, H. S., Bougeret, J.-L., & Burkepile, J. 2006, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 123, 13
 Hudson, H. S., Fletcher, L., Kahn, J. I., & Kosugi, T. 2004, in *Solar And Space Weather Radiophysics*, ed. D. E. Gary & C. U. Keller (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 153
 Hurford, G. J., et al. 2002, *Sol. Phys.*, 210, 61
 Kane, S. R., McTiernan, J., Loran, J., Fenimore, E. E., Klebesadel, R. W., & Laros, J. G. 1992, *ApJ*, 390, 687
 Klein, K.-L., Krucker, S., & Lointier, G. 2008, *A&A*, submitted
 Kosugi, T., et al. 2007, *Sol. Phys.*, 243, 3
 Krucker, S., Hannah, I. G., & Lin, R. P. 2007c, *ApJ*, 671, L193
 Krucker, S., Hurford, G. J., MacKinnon, A. L., Shih, A. Y., & Lin, R. P. 2008, *ApJ*, 678, L63
 Krucker, S., Kontar, E. P., Christe, S., & Lin, R. P. 2007a, *ApJ*, 663, L109
 Krucker, S., Larson, D. E., & Lin, R. P., & Thompson, B. J. 1999, *ApJ*, 519, 864
 Krucker, S., & Lin, R. P. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 1181
 Krucker, S., White, S. M., & Lin, R. P. 2007b, *ApJ*, 669, L49
 Lin, R. P. 1985, *Sol. Phys.*, 100, 537
 Lin, R. P., & Hudson, H. S. 1971, *Sol. Phys.*, 17, 412
 Lin, R. P., et al. 1995, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 71, 125
 ———. 2002, *Sol. Phys.*, 210, 3
 Lintunen, J. and Vainio, R. 2004, *A&A*, 420, 343
 Masuda, S., Kosugi, T., Hara, H., Tsuneta, S., & Ogawara, Y. 1994, *Nature*, 371, 495
 Nitta, N.V, Mason, G. M., Wiedenbeck, M. E., Cohen, C. M. S., Krucker, S., Hannah, I. G., Masumi Shimojo, M., & Shibata, K. 2008, *ApJ*, 675, L125
 Pick, M., Mason, G. M., Wang, Y.-M., Tan, C., & Wang, L. 2006, *ApJ*, 648, 1247
 Reiner, M. 2001, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 97, 129
 Saint-Hilaire, P., Krucker, S., & Lin, R. P. 2008, *ApJ*, submitted
 Saiz, A., Evenson, P., Ruffolo, D., & Bieber, J. W. 2005, *ApJ*, 626, 1131
 Somov, B., Kosugi, T., Masuda, S., Sakao, T., & Bogachev, S. A. 2004, *Prodc. 35th COSPAR Scientific Assembly (Paris: COSPAR)*, 14
 Sui, L., & Holman, G. D. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, L251
 Tomczak, M. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 294
 Wang, Y.-M., Pick, M., & Mason, G. M. 2006, *ApJ*, 639, 495
 Wibberenz, G., & Cane, H. V. 2006, *ApJ*, 650, 1199