SM43B-1329 Substorms Posters

Variations of Substorm Recovery Time Scales

M. O. Fillingim¹ (matt@ssl.berkeley.edu), D. Chua², G. A. Germany³, and J. F. Spann⁴

¹Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley
 ²Space Science Division, Naval Research Laboratory
 ³Center for Space Plasma and Aeronomic Research, University of Alabama in Huntsville
 ⁴NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL

Introduction and Previous Work

<u>New results</u>

Quantitative description of auroral substorm development:

1) Energetics:

Hemispheric Power, HP = $\sum Q_{i,j}A_{i,j}$ where $Q_{i,j}$ = energy flux; $A_{i,j}$ = area of pixel(*i*, *j*)



Median T: 31.9 min

Median T: 34.1 min Test 100

Median τ: 18.5 min

- Chua et al. [2004] analyzed 350 substorms observed by Polar UVI
- •Recovery time scales during winter & equinox ~ 2X that during summer

Implications for auroral conjugacy: • Statistically, auroral substorms last longer in darkness (winter) than in sunlight (summer)

→ More energy deposited in dark hemisphere

- Our goal: extend the analysis of Chua et al. [2004] to include ~4000 substorms observed by IMAGE FUV compiled by Frey and Mende [2006]
- We start with (*very*) small subset:
 12 substorms → 10 during winter, only 2 during summer
- Determine recovery time scale, τ , for each substorm

Total of all substorms: Average τ : 37.6 ± 19.7 minutes Median τ : 41.3 minutes



Winter Substorms

Winter substorms: Average τ : 38.9 ± 21.0 minutes Median τ : 46.2 minutes

Summer substorms: Average τ : 31.3 ± 14.1 minutes Median τ : 41.3 minutes





Interpretation, Summary, & Conclusions

- Our observed median winter substorm recovery time scale is 10 15 minutes longer than *Chua et al.*'s [2004]
- → Caveats: 1) small sample size: 10 vs. > 200 substorms
 2) differences in instruments/filter responses
 may lead to discrepancies (?)

 We find no significant seasonal variation in substorm recovery time scales in contrast to *Chua et al.* [2004]
 → However, we used a <u>statistically insignificant</u> data set (especially for summer substorms – only 2!)

Why should there be seasonal variations in substorm recovery time scales?

- Suppression of auroral in sunlight [e.g., Newell et al., 2001]: Increased solar EUV flux → increased cold plasma density & ionospheric conductivity
- Cold plasma effectively "shorts out" parallel electric fields (or, to put it another way,)
- Cold plasma density is sufficient to carry current driven by the magnetosphere without parallel potentials
- Intense auroral structures have shorter lifespans in sunlight
- \rightarrow Conductivity can play a major role in substorm dynamics

Future Work

- Include (<u>many</u>) more IMAGE FUV substorms
- Investigate how differences in instruments/filter responses impact recovery time scales
- Simultaneous, conjugate substorm observations

SM43B-1329 Substorms Posters