Conjugate Observations of Substorm Recovery Time Scales from Two Global Auroral Imagers: Inter-calibration and Initial Results

M. O. Fillingim¹, D. Chua², G. A. Germany³, and J. F. Spann⁴

 ¹Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley
 ²Space Science Division, Naval Research Laboratory
 ³Center for Space Plasma and Aeronomic Research, University of Alabama in Huntsville
 ⁴NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

<u>SM51B-05</u>

2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 N

30 May 2007

<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Motivation and Previous Work
 - Discrepancy between substorm recovery time scales determined by *Chua et al.* [2004] and *Fillingim et al.* [2007] using *different instruments* (Polar UVI vs IMAGE FUV)
 - Is discrepancy due to an instrument effect?
- 2. Inter-calibration and Initial Results
 - Simultaneous "same-scene" substorm observations
 - Simultaneous, conjugate substorm observations
- 3. Summary and Conclusions
 - Challenges using multiple data sets/instruments
 - How to address them

Previous Work 1

Chua et al. [2004] analyzed 350 substorms



Recovery time scale ~ 2X longer during winter than during summer (32 minutes vs. 18 minutes)
➔ Substorms last longer in darkness than in sunlight

Implications for auroral conjugacy (esp. during solstice):

- More energy deposited in dark hemisphere
- Asymmetric energy input during auroral substorms
 Drives asymmetric upper atmospheric dynamics

SM51B-05 2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 May 2007



Previous Work 1

Chua et al. [2004] analyzed 350 substorms



Recovery time scale ~ 2X longer during winter than during summer (32 minutes vs. 18 minutes)
➔ Substorms last longer in darkness than in sunlight

Implications for auroral conjugacy (esp. during solstice):

- More energy deposited in dark hemisphere
- Asymmetric energy input during auroral substorms
 Drives asymmetric upper atmospheric dynamics

Previous Work 2

Fillingim et al. [2007] extended this using IMAGE FUV

- Median substorm recovery time scales were longer
 46 min vs. 32 min (winter)
 41 min vs. 19 min (summer)
- No sig. seasonal variation
 46 min vs. 41 min (~ 10% diff)

<u>Caveats</u>:

- Very small sample size: 10 vs. 350 substorms
- Differences in instrument filter responses <u>check this!</u>



<u>SM51B-05</u>

2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL

Instrumentation

IMAGE Far UltraViolet (FUV) Wideband Imaging Camera (WIC) Polar UltraViolet Imager (UVI) LBH Short (LBHS) & Long (LBHL) filters

Minor temporal and spatial differences

Spectral Resolution WIC: 140 to 190 nm LBHS: 140 to 160 nm LBHL: 160 to 180 nm

Respond to different energies (due to O_2)



<u>SM51B-05</u>

2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL



Methodology

- Identify substorms when IMAGE FUV and Polar UVI are both viewing the same (northern) hemisphere
- Start in December 2000 \rightarrow optimal orbit & no dayglow
- Chua et al. [2004] computed auroral power from LBHL – WIC and LBHS don't (directly) measure energy flux
- Compute area-integrated photon flux (photons/sec) in several local time sectors (show premidnight sector)
- To plot on same scale, adjust WIC integrated flux (WIC – offset)/35 ≈ LBHL

where offset depends on width of local time sector

• Are slopes of WIC and UVI observations the same?

Results: WIC & LBHL



- Magnitude of adjusted WIC and LBHL integrated photon fluxes are approximately equal

 WIC often observes slightly larger peak magnitudes
- Slopes of WIC and LBHL integrated photon fluxes during recovery phase are also approximately equal!

 <u>SM51B-05</u>
 2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL
 30 May 2007

Results: WIC, LBHS, & LBHL



- Magnitude and slope of adjusted WIC and LBHL integrated photon fluxes are approximately equal – except on 2000–12–09 → time resolution/FOV/limb
- Magnitude and slope of LBHS integrated photon fluxes appear different – sensitive to energy of precipitation
 <u>SM51B-05</u> 2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 May 2007

Conjugate Observations

IMAGE WIC: Northern Hemisphere (Sunlit)



Polar UVI: Southern Hemisphere (Dark)



SM51B-05

2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 May 2007

Conjugate Observations

IMAGE WIC: Northern Hemisphere (Sunlit)



Polar UVI: Southern Hemisphere (Dark)



SM51B-05

2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 May 2007



Summary & Conclusions

- WIC and UVI (usually) have similar profiles/time scales
 → discrepancy not due to filter effect → statistics (?)
- Simultaneous, conjugate substorm observations show recovery time is **nearly double** in dark hemisphere in agreent with statistical results of *Chua et al.* [2004]

Challenges using multiple data sources/instruments

- Differences in spectral response
 → Complicates quantitative comparisons
- Differences in spatial coverage/orbits
 - \rightarrow Complicates conjugate studies (local, not global)
- → Two (or more) identical instruments in opposite orbits

<u>SM51B-05</u> 2008 Joint Assembly, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 30 May 2007