

Gamma-ray Imaging of the October/November 2003 Solar Flares

G. J. Hurford¹, S. Krucker¹, R. P. Lin^{1,2}, R. A. Schwartz³, G. H. Share^{4,5}, D. M. Smith⁶

ABSTRACT

We present *RHESSI* imaging of three flares (2003 October 28, 29 and November 2) in the 2.223 MeV neutron-capture gamma-ray line with angular resolution as high as 35 arcsec. Comparisons of imaged and spatially integrated fluences show that in all cases most, if not all, of the emission was confined to compact sources with size scales of tens of arcsec or smaller that are located within the flare active region. Thus the gamma-ray producing ions appear to be accelerated by the flare process and not by a widespread shock driven by a fast coronal mass ejection. The 28 October event yielded the first such image to show double-footpoint gamma-ray line sources. These footpoint sources straddled the flaring loop arcade but were displaced from the corresponding 0.2-0.3 MeV electron-bremsstrahlung emission footpoints by 14 and 17 ± 5 arcsec. As with the previously studied 2002 July 23 event, this implies spatial differences in acceleration and/or propagation between the flare-accelerated ions and electrons.

Subject headings: Sun: flares — Sun: X-rays, gamma rays — gamma rays: observations

1. Introduction

Observations of gamma-ray line emission show that ions are accelerated up to \sim MeV-GeV energies in large solar flares, whereas the solar energetic particles (SEPs) directly observed near 1 AU with comparable energies appear to be accelerated much higher (\sim 2-40 Rs)

¹Space Sciences Lab, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; ghurford@ssl.berkeley.edu, krucker@ssl.berkeley.edu, rlin@ssl.berkeley.edu

²Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center / SSAI, Greenbelt, MD 20771; Richard.Schwartz@gssc.nasa.gov

⁴Naval Research Lab, Washington, DC 20375; gerald.share@nrl.navy.mil

⁵Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

⁶Department of Physics and Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064; dsmith@scipp.ucsc.edu

in the solar atmosphere (Kahler 1994) by widespread shock waves driven by fast ($>\sim 1000$ km/s) coronal mass ejections (CMEs). Almost all fast CMEs are associated with large solar flares, but the relationship between these two apparently separate ion accelerations is presently not understood. The energy contained in the flare-accelerated $>\sim 1$ MeV ions can be comparable to that in flare-accelerated electrons (Ramaty et al. 1995; Ramaty & Mandhavidze 2000). Both can carry a significant fraction (~ 10 -50%) of the total energy released in the flare (Lin & Hudson 1976; Lin et al. 2003; Emslie et al. 2004, 2005). Until recently, gamma-ray line studies have been limited to spatially integrated spectroscopy (e.g. Share & Murphy 2004). Since 2002, however, NASA’s Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (*RHESSI*) (Lin et al. 2002) has provided the first capability for solar gamma-ray imaging as well as high-resolution spectroscopy of ion-produced gamma-ray lines and X-ray imaging spectroscopy of the bremsstrahlung radiation from energetic electrons.

The neutron-capture line at 2.223 MeV is the strongest of the many individual narrow and broad gamma-ray lines generated by accelerated ions (e.g. Ramaty, Kozlovsky & Lingenfelter 1979; Smith et al. 2003). Accelerated ~ 10 to $>\sim 100$ MeV/nucleon protons and alphas colliding with the solar atmosphere produce fast neutrons that then thermalize in the photosphere before capture by ambient hydrogen to form deuterium, with the emission of an extremely narrow line ($<\sim 10$ eV FWHM). Since the neutrons travel only a short distance ($<\sim 1$ arcsec) during the ~ 100 s thermalization time (Hua & Lingenfelter 1987), images in the 2.223 MeV line indicate the site(s) of the original nuclear interactions.

The first gamma-ray line imaging (Hurford et al. 2003) showed that for the 2002 July 23 flare the centroid of the 2.223 MeV line source was displaced by ~ 20 arcsec from the corresponding centroid of the electron-bremsstrahlung continuum emission, a surprising result given the general similarity of the time profiles of electron-associated X-ray and ion-associated gamma-ray line emissions. Here we present 2.223 MeV line imaging for three intense flares that occurred during the solar activity of October–November 2003.

2. Observations

RHESSI imaging (Hurford et al. 2002) uses nine bi-grid rotating modulation collimators (RMCs) that provide logarithmically spaced angular resolutions between 2.26 and 183 arcsec FWHM. RMC 6 (35 arcsec) and RMC 9 (183 arc) have tungsten grids that are sufficiently thick (2 and 3 cm, respectively) to modulate photons at gamma-ray energies.

Behind each RMC is a cryogenically cooled (~ 80 K), electrically segmented germanium detector (Smith et al. 2002) that provides high spectral resolution (~ 1 to 10 keV FWHM)

over the 3 keV to 17 MeV energy range. The intense flux of 3 keV to 0.2 MeV X-rays from strong flares stops primarily in the ~ 1 cm thick front segments, allowing the higher-energy gamma-rays to be detected with minimal dead-time by the ~ 7 cm thick rear segments used in this work. As *RHESSI* rotates at ~ 15 rpm, the RMC transmissions vary rapidly. Imaging information is encoded in the resulting time-modulation of the observed count rates. Telemetry includes the arrival time and energy of each detected photon which, together with aspect information, enables the images to be reconstructed (Hurford et al. 2002). At 2.223 MeV *RHESSI*'s spectral resolution (~ 6 keV FWHM) allows a narrow energy window (2.218-2.228 MeV) to be used to minimize background from the underlying continuum and to reject photons that Compton-scatter in the grids and elsewhere.

Following procedures outlined in Hurford et al. (2003), flare-averaged images were reconstructed by back projection for the 2.223 MeV neutron-capture line and 0.2-0.3 MeV electron-bremsstrahlung bands. Except where noted, both bands were imaged with RMCs 6 and 9 using the same aspect solution, imaging algorithms and parameters (Table 1). This largely eliminates potential systematic errors that might compromise comparisons. Integration times for the 2.223 MeV images were delayed by 100 s so that the electron-bremsstrahlung and gamma-ray line images would correspond to the same primary particle *interaction* times, although in practice this refinement made no noticeable difference. Where statistics warranted, the Clean algorithm (Hogbom 1974) was also used on corresponding images to improve side-lobe suppression with no effect on feature location.

2.1. 2003 October 28 Event

INTEGRAL/SPI observations (Kiener et al. 2006) (Figure 1) show that the time profiles of the prompt C and O de-excitation lines (4.4 & 6.1 MeV) and hard X-rays (>150 keV) are closely similar, while the 2.223 MeV profile is delayed by the characteristic ~ 100 s neutron thermalization time. The *RHESSI* observations begin about 4 minutes after event onset, and display a smooth exponential decay lasting at least five ~ 280 s e-folding times for the 2.223 MeV line, while the 0.2-0.3 MeV band, dominated by electron bremsstrahlung, has a time profile similar to the >150 keV *INTEGRAL*/SPI hard X-ray profile.

RHESSI's 35-arcsec resolution maps at 0.2-0.3 MeV and at 2.223 MeV (Figure 2) are both dominated by two compact sources of comparable intensity (fluence ratio of 1.0 ± 0.4) separated by ~ 80 arcsec and straddling the arcade of loops in the *TRACE* image. The electron-bremsstrahlung sources, however, are displaced by 14 and 17 ± 5 arcsec from the 2.223 MeV sources.

With integration times of 1540 s, the images time-average over any footpoint motions. Because of the exponential decay, however, emission in the first few minutes of the interval dominates. For the 0.2-0.3 MeV band, representative locations of the peaks of footpoint locations obtained with shorter time resolutions are indicated by the red + signs. The general trend was for the east and west footpoints to increase their separation, moving ~ 15 arcsec toward the south and west respectively. Only an upper limit of ~ 30 arcsec could be placed on potential motions of the centroids of the 2.223 MeV footpoints.

2.2. 2003 October 29 Event

The 2003 October 29 event, observed in its entirety by *RHESSI*, had a high and variable background from penetrating energetic particles precipitating from the radiation belts. Since background is not modulated by the grids, this did not impair imaging of the flare. The hard X-ray observations (Krucker, Fivian & Lin 2005) initially showed multiple sources that became two sources with generally outward motions straddling the loop arcade seen by *TRACE*. Figure 3 shows the 0.2-0.3 MeV hard X-ray image, integrated over the flare, with the motion of the footpoints indicated by the purple lines. Although the 2.223 MeV imaging with RMC 6 did not yield a statistically significant result for this flare, RMC 9 imaging with 183 arcsec resolution showed an unresolved source whose centroid location is shown as the dark blue circle with a 17-arcsec $1-\sigma$ error radius. The apparent 13-arcsec displacement from the corresponding 0.2-0.3 MeV emission centroid (solid red circle) is not statistically significant.

2.3. 2003 November 2 Event

The 2003 November 2 event was well observed and the 50% contours of the resulting gamma-ray images are shown in Figure 4 superimposed on a post-flare *SOHO*/EIT image.

Imaging the 0.2-0.3 MeV hard X-ray emission with RMC's 3 through 9 (7 arcsec resolution) showed two equal footpoints separated by 29 arcsec (dashed red 50% contours) straddling an MDI neutral line running SSW to NNW. Images with only RMC 6 (35 arcsec FWHM) did not have sufficient resolution to separate the footpoints and so they appear as a single elongated source (solid red contour) centered between the footpoints.

The corresponding RMC 6 2.223 MeV line image is shown as the thin blue 50% contour. Its centroid, shown as the thick blue circle with a $1-\sigma$ error radius, is displaced by 11 ± 5 arcsec from the corresponding centroid of the 0.2-0.3 MeV RMC 6 image (small red circle).

Its location suggests that the 2.223 MeV line source may be preferentially associated with the more northerly footpoint.

3. Discussion

In common with flare-accelerated electrons, ions accelerated by a flare would be expected to interact at footpoint locations, whereas ions accelerated by a CME shock would be expected to be widely dispersed and/or displaced from the flare site. The latter possibility was suggested by the detection of the 2.223 MeV line in an over-the-limb flare event (Vestrand & Forrest 1993). Since the three flares studied here all had associated fast CMEs with SEP events observed at 1 AU (Mewaldt et al. 2005), they are potential candidates for such behavior. The present observations provide an opportunity to distinguish between the two possibilities. Specifically, the photon flux of a source that is significantly smaller than the RMC angular resolution would be completely modulated, resulting in a relative visibility (defined as the ratio of the modulated flux to spatially integrated flux) close to unity, whereas an extended source much larger than the RMC angular resolution would be only weakly modulated, with a relative visibility close to zero. Spatially integrated counts are determined by summing the counts between 2.218 and 2.228 MeV and subtracting the background contribution inferred from simultaneously observed continuum counts in the same detector at energies between 2.24 and 2.30 MeV. Note that potential uncertainties in either the detector efficiency or a specific background model do not affect the relative visibility measurement. For all three events, the RMC 9 relative visibility at 2.223 MeV is consistent with 1, implying compact sources (Table 1). Assuming Gaussian profiles, the relative visibilities implied sizes of a few 10's of arcseconds or less. Furthermore, in all four *RHESSI* imaged events (including 2002 July 23), the 2.223 MeV sources are located within the flaring active region. We conclude that for all the imaged events, the gamma-ray producing ions are locally accelerated by the flare, and not by the associated fast CME shock.

Further evidence for flare-accelerated ions is the first observation (October 28) of a double source, one that straddles the flaring loop arcade, a characteristic typical of electron-bremsstrahlung footpoint sources. In contrast, the other three flares imaged to date have yielded only single unresolved sources. However, to image a double source with a 35 arcsec resolution RMC, the footpoints must be separated by at least 35 arcsec and the signal-to-noise (S/N) must be sufficient to support detection of each component. For a given subcollimator, the statistical S/N scales as the ratio of the number of each component's source counts to the square root of the total number of counts. Based on this measure, the October 28 event had 2 to 3 times the S/N of each of the other events. Furthermore, in two

of the other cases (October 29 and November 2) the footpoint separation, as indicated by electron-bremsstrahlung images, was too small to be resolved by RMC 6. Therefore a double source could not have been detected in any of the other events.

Turning to the issue of the relative locations of the ion- and electron-associated sources, one result of previous gamma-ray imaging (Hurford et al. 2003) was the displacement of the centroid of the 2.223 MeV source in the 2002 July 23 event from that of the electron-bremsstrahlung source. For the October 28 flare the two separate 2.223 MeV footpoints on opposite sides of the flaring loop arcade were displaced from the corresponding 0.2-0.3 MeV electron-bremsstrahlung footpoints by 14 and 17 ± 5 arcsec ($\sim 3\text{-}\sigma$). For the October 29 event, the displacement (if any) between the centroids of the 2.223 MeV source and the 0.2-0.3 MeV sources is 13 ± 18 arcsec. For the November 2 event, there is an 11 ± 5 arcsec displacement of the centroid of the 2.223 MeV source toward the northerly 0.2-0.3 MeV electron-bremsstrahlung component, with the 2.223 MeV source seeming to favor one of the two electron-bremsstrahlung footpoints. Following the initial analysis of the 2002 July 23 event (Hurford et al. 2003), an improved roll aspect solution became available which enabled the entire flare to be imaged rather than the more limited interval reported previously. The revised analysis (Table 1) confirmed the original result with the 20 ± 6 arcsec displacement previously reported becoming a 25 ± 5 arcsec displacement when the longer integration time was used.

These displacements imply spatial differences in the acceleration and/or transport of energetic electrons and ions in solar flares. To account for this, Emslie, Miller, & Brown (2004) discussed a stochastic acceleration mechanism whereby the ions are preferentially accelerated in larger loops than the electrons. This was consistent with the observation (Lin et al. 2003) that the ion source location in the 2002 July 23 event was near the footpoints of a larger arcade of post-flare loops while the electron sources were at the footpoints of a smaller arcade of loops. In the October 28 event, however, the two 2.223 MeV gamma-ray line footpoint sources have about the same separation as the two 0.2-0.3 MeV electron-bremsstrahlung footpoint sources.

Alternatively, if all the particles are accelerated in a single source, gradient and curvature drifts of the electrons and ions in opposite directions along the flare magnetic arcade can produce displacement. In the October 28 event the *SOHO*/MDI magnetograph indicates the arcade magnetic fields run south to north, implying that energetic ions will drift eastward and electrons westward, consistent with the direction of the observed displacement of the footpoints. The drift speed is given approximately by $v_d \sim E/qBR_c$ (Chen 1983), where E , q , B , and R_c are the particle kinetic energy, particle charge, magnetic field strength and radius of curvature of the field, respectively. For an estimated arcade field of $B \sim 100$ Gauss

and $R_c \sim 40$ arcsec, v_d is ~ 100 m/s for 30 MeV protons, and ~ 1 m/s for 0.3 MeV electrons. For the flare duration of 10^3 s, these drifts would therefore produce a displacement of only $\sim 10^2$ km, about two orders of magnitude smaller than the observed displacement.

Another alternative is suggested by theoretical studies whereby the acceleration of particles by strong electric fields in a current sheet formed by reconnecting loops (Litvinenko & Somov 1993, 1995) can lead to separation of particles with opposite charge (Zharkova & Gordovskyy 2004). However, it is not clear whether this is consistent with the displacements reported here.

In summary, it would seem that at this stage, the observed displacements are not understood. Our current, rather sketchy picture of the spatial characteristics of flare-accelerated nuclei clearly would benefit from gamma-ray observations with better spatial resolution and sensitivity, and of course by the observation of additional gamma-ray flares.

We thank the *TRACE* and *SOHO/EIT* teams for making their images conveniently accessible and J. Kiener for kindly supplying *INTEGRAL/SPI* results in digital form. This work has been supported by NASA Contract NAS5-98033 and NNG05G189G.

REFERENCES

- Chen, F. F., 1983, Introduction to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, 2nd ed., Plenum Press, 1, 26
- Emslie, A. G., Miller, J. A., & Brown, J. C., 2004, ApJ, 602, L69
- Emslie, A. G. & 14 coauthors, 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A10104
- Emslie, A. G., Dennis, B. R., Holman, G. D. & Hudson, H. S., 2005, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A11103
- Hogbom, J. A., 1974, A&A, 15, 417
- Hua, X.-M., & Lingenfelter, R. E., 1987, Sol. Phys., 107, 351
- Hurford, G. J. et al., 2002, Sol. Phys., 210, 61
- Hurford, G. J., Schwartz, R. A., Krucker, S., Lin, R. P., Smith, D. M., & Vilmer, N., 2003, ApJ, 595, L77
- Kahler, S., 1994, ApJ, 428, 837

- Kiener, J., Gros, M., Tatischeff, V. & Weidenspointer, G., 2006, *A&A*, 445, 725
- Krucker, S., Fivian, M. D., & Lin, R. P., 2005, *Adv. Space. Res*, 35, 1707
- Lin, R. P., & Hudson, H. S., 1976, *Sol. Phys.*, 50, 153
- Lin, R. P. et al., 2002, *Sol. Phys.*, 210, 3
- Lin, R. P. et al., 2003, *ApJ*, 595, L69
- Litvinenko, Y. E., & Somov, B. V., 1993, *Sol. Phys.*, 146, 127
- Litvinenko, Y. E., & Somov, B. V., 1995, *Sol. Phys.*, 158, 317
- Mewaldt, R. A., Cohen, C. M. S., Labrador, A. W., et al, 2005, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, A09S18
- Ramaty, R., Kozlovsky, B. & Lingenfelter, R. E., 1979, *ApJS*, 40, 487
- Ramaty, R., Mandzhavidze, N., Kozlovsky, B. & Murphy, R., 1995, *ApJ*, 455, L193
- Ramaty, R. & Mandzhavidze, N., 2000, *IAU Symposia* 195, 123
- Share, G. H. & Murphy, R. J., 2004, in *Stars as Suns: Activity, Evolution and Planets*, IAU Conf. Series 219, eds A. K. Dupree & A. O. Benz, 133
- Smith, D. M. et al., 2002, *Sol. Phys.*, 210, 33
- Smith, D. M., Share, G. H. & Murphy, R. J., Schwartz, R. A., Shih, A. Y., & Lin, R. P. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, L81
- Vestrand, W. T. & Forrest, D. J., 1993, *ApJ*, 409, L69
- Zharkova, V. V., & Gordovskyy, M., 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 884

Table 1. *RHESSI* Neutron-Capture Line Events

	2003 Oct 28	2003 Oct 29	2003 Nov 2	2002 July 23
GOES Class	X17	X10	X8	X4.8
Optical location	S16 E08	S15 W02	S14 W56	S13 E72
Time range	11:06:20-11:29:40 ^a	20:43-21:00	17:16-17:29	00:27:20-00:43:20 ^b
2223±5 keV counts in RMC 6,9	2280, 1643	463, 313	781, 557	336, 240
2223±5 keV background counts ^c	316, 280	130, 125	121, 118	85, 73
Relative visibility for RMC 6 ^d	0.91±0.2 ^e	...	0.76±0.26	1.61±0.45
Relative visibility for RMC 9 ^d	0.82±0.10	1.28±0.28	0.84±0.15	1.28±0.26
Expected rel. visibility for RMC 9 ^f	0.90	1.0	0.98	1.0
2.223 MeV source FWHM (arcsec) ^g	<30 ^h	<94	<44	<22

^aDecay phase only

^bExpanded since Hurford et al. (2002)

^cInferred from nearby continuum

^dRatio of imaged to spatially-integrated counts

^eIncludes both sources

^fBased on the RMC 6 image

^g2- σ upper limits, assuming a Gaussian profile

^hEach component




Fig. 1.— Gamma-ray light curves for the 28 October 2003 event from *RHESSI* and *INTEGRAL*/SPI. The *INTEGRAL* data, adapted from Kiener et al. (2006), are shown in the top and two lower curves. The top curve is the deadtime-corrected shield count rate which responds to >150 keV photons. The other curves have been corrected for pre- or post-flare levels of background. Line fluences have been corrected for continuum emission. For clarity, the ordinate for each curve has been shifted by an arbitrary factor.




Fig. 2.— Overlay of the 50, 70 and 90% contours of 35 arcsec resolution gamma-ray images made with RMCs 6+9 on a *TRACE* 195A image of the 28 October flare. The red + signs indicate 200-300 keV footpoint locations for successive adjacent intervals of 100, 120, 180 and 240 s beginning at 11:06:20. The X and Y heliographic offsets are positive west and north of Sun center.




Fig. 3.— The fine red (50-90%) contours show the 200-300 keV high-resolution map for the 2002 October 29 event superimposed on a *TRACE* 195A image. The thick red and blue circles are the centroid locations with $1\text{-}\sigma$ error radii for RMC 9 imaging at 200-300 keV and 2218-2228 keV respectively. The purple lines illustrate the motions of the 200-300 keV footpoints.




Fig. 4.— Overlay of 200-300 keV (red) and 2218-2228 keV (blue) sources onto a post-flare *SOHO*/EIT image for the 2002 November 2 event. The dashed and thin solid red 50% contours show the result of 200-300 keV mapping with 7 and 35 arcsec resolution (RMC’s 3-9 and 6+9) respectively. The 35 arcsec map cannot quite resolve the double footpoint source revealed by the high-resolution map and so has an elongated profile. The thin blue 50% contour is the corresponding RMC 6+9 map at 2218-2228 keV. The thicker $1\text{-}\sigma$ error circles show the RMC 6 source centroid locations.