Large-scale Nonthermal Coronal Phenomena

H. S. Hudson

Space Sciences Laboratory

University of California, Berkeley

Overview

- Coronal hard X-ray sources
- Global waves (CME shocks, Moreton waves, type II bursts)
- Energetic neutral atoms (ENAs*) and their implications

* See Mewaldt et al. (ApJ 693, L11, 2009)

Coronal Hard X-ray Sources

- There are lots of meter-wave radio source types (I, II, III, IV, V, …), so why not hard X-rays?
- They're there: Frost & Dennis (1971); Hudson (1978); Krucker et al. (2008)
- The remarkable Masuda source (Masuda et al. 1994, 2000; Krucker et al. 2008) needs special discussion
- An identification with the CME process seems to be developing

Coronal Hard X-rays: Old & New





Old

New









Extended Coronal HXR

- Coronal hard X-ray sources are prevalent, but faint
- We are coming to believe that they are strongly associated with CMEs, rather than the flare process itself



Impulsive-phase Coronal HXR

- Masuda source - unusual
- Dec. 31 2005 - maybe RHESSI's best counterpart



STEREO/RHESSI 31 Dec. 2007



- Complex flare ribbons
- Ribbons (red and blue lines) on disk for Behind.
- The flare ribbons are NOT visible in RHESSI images**!**

RHESSI hard X-ray imaging

HXR peak (impulsive phase) SXR peak



The HXR source is above the SXR loops! Masuda-like!

Nobeyama microwave imaging

HXR peak (impulsive phase) SXR peak





The microwave limb is higher;17 GHz co-spatial with HXR



Hard X-ray spectra

Power-law spectrum with index γ ~ 4.2
→ non-thermal spectrum

Microwave spectrum is consistent with gyrosynchroton emission

→ above-the-loop-top source is non-thermal!

$N_{HXR} \gtrsim N_{thermal}$ means

- almost all energy is in accelerated electrons
- collisional heating is very fast (~ 5 keV/s)

→ ALL electrons are accelerated
→ The above-the-loop-top source is the acceleration region
→ Plasma beta in above-the-loop-top source ~ 1



Models for the ATLTS



Turbulence (e.g.
Liu et al. 2007)



Contracting islands
(Drake et al. 2006)

Drake et al. :

- **extended acc. region**
- **all electrons are acc.**
- **power law distribution**
- **β~1 stops contraction**
- **β~1 stops acceleration**

The time evolution is given by acceleration and escape

Global Waves

- SSC* shock; Type II burst; Moreton wave; EIT wave
- Major controversy on the interpretation of the metric type II and Moreton wave: is it a blast wave?
- Gopalswamy et al (2009) list of CMEless X-class flares (cf. de La Beaujardiere et al. 1994).

*Storm Sudden Commencement, a term from geomagnetism



Subtracted Doppler Images (R-B Wing) Showing Down-Up Pattern



Kaufmann et al. (2008)

The wave appears near the peak of the impulsive phase of the high-energy flare …

CMEless X-class flares!



Gopalswamy et al. 2009

CMEless X-class flares!

Table 1. X-class flares without CMEs during solar cycle 23 and their properties

#	Flare Start	Peak	Dur	Imp	Location	AR #	Hα	III	μfpk/flux
1	2000/06/06 13:30	13:39	16	X1.1	N18E12	9026[d]	N	N	2.7/560
2	2000/09/30 23:13	23:21	8	X1.2[c]	N07W90	9169	N	N	15.4/2800
3	2001/04/02 10:04	10:14	16	X1.4	N17W60	9393	1B[e]	Y	15.4/1200
4	2001/06/23 04:02	04:08	9	X1.2[c]	N10E23	9511	1B	N	5/100
5[a]	2001/11/25 09:45	09:51	9	X1.1[c]	S16W69	9704[d]	N	N	15.4/130
6	2002/10/31 16:47	16:52	8	X1.2[c]	N29W90	0162	N	N	8.8/3300
7[b]	2004/02/26 01:50	02:03	20	X1.1[c]	N14W15	0564	2N[e]	N	15.4/830
8	2004/07/15 18:15	18:24	13	X1.6	S11E45	0649	N	N	8.8/530
9	2004/07/16 01:43	02:06	29	X1.3	S11E41	0649	N	N	15.4/1900
10	2004/07/16 10:32	10:41	14	X1.1	S10E36	0649	1F[e]	Y	15.4/1200
11	2004/07/17 07:51	07:57	8	X1.0	S11E24	0649	3B[e]	N	5/820
12	2005/01/15 00:22	00:43	40	X1.2	N14E08	0720	1F	N	15.4/3000
13[a]	2005/09/15 08:30	08:38	16	X1.1	S12W14	0808	2N	N	15.4/4100

Gopalswamy et al. 2009

Something new has been learned

- The classical Uchida theory for type II / Moreton wave excitation is a flare-excited fast mode blast wave
- Powerful flares without waves contradict this picture
- The Gopalswamy et al. sample is convincing
- These flares do not exhibit SHH nor SXR precursor increases

Energetic Neutral Atoms*

- An entirely new flare-associated "neutral particle" has appeared
- The ENAs are the first guide to the "subcosmic rays" - particles neither thermal nor detectable
- If, that is, they can be associated with the flare γ-ray sources.

* See Mewaldt et al. (ApJ 693, L11, 2009)

Mewaldt et al. Figures







- The STEREO observations provide both spatial and temporal signatures that clearly identify the particles as hydrogen
- The injection times closely match the GOES light curve of the flare

Mewaldt et al. Figures (II)



- The HET counts resemble those expected from neutron decay
- The LET spectrum appears to steepen > 5 MeV

How many particles?

- Mewaldt et al. estimate a total of 1.8×10^{28} ENA particles (hydrogen atoms) assuming isotropic emission in a hemisphere
- RHESSI γ-ray observations imply a total of 1.3×10^{31} protons above 30 MeV
- Assuming a spectral index of 3.5, this implies a total of 2×10^{34} protons above 1.6 MeV

The escape efficiency of 2 MeV ENAs may be of order 10^{-6}

Whence flare ENAs?





Neutralization and re-ionization on open field lines: Mikic & Lee, 2006

Neutralization and re-ionization on closed field lines: Dennis & Schwartz, 1989

http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons/

Whence flare ENAs?



http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons/



Neutralization and re-ionization on open field lines: Mikic & Lee, 2006

timing wrong

Neutralization and re-ionization on closed field lines: Dennis & Schwartz, 1989

$\tau_{strip} \sim 120$

Timing wrong for CME shock?



Monte Carlo simulations

- Neutral hydrogen and protons are alternative states of the same particle. Can successive ionizations and neutralizations allow flare ENAs to originate from the flare γ-ray sources in the deep corona?
- If so, do the emergent ENAs retain any information about the spectrum, source structure, or time profile?
- Everything is very complicated, so we are trying to extract answers via Monte Carlo simulations embodying enough of the physics

Proton injected at 1.6 R_{sun} @ 2 MeV (example)



Protons injected at 1.2 Rsun @ 2MeV (examples)





1. Energy decay
2. Footpoint ENA flight
3. Time out
4. Solar wind ENA flight





What do we want to learn from the Monte Carlo model?

- The escape efficiency as a function of injection height and other parameters
- The spectrum of the escaping ENAs, ditto
- The angular distribution of the emerging ENAs
- The spatial structure of the apparent ENA source

Some necessary physics





- Charge exchange cross-sections (H-like and He-like only)
- Charge exchange vs collisions
- Impact ionization $\sigma_i = 2.3 \times 10^{-17} E_p^{-0.897}$ cm^2 (Barghouty, 2000)

Conclusions

- The Mewaldt et al. (2009) result is one of the most important for flare high-energy physics in this century, since it opens a vast new parameter space
- Interpretation is wide open at present. Our Monte Carlo model suggests that ENA escape from the flare γ-ray sources may be feasible, but it is preliminary work
- If the ENAs come from CME shock acceleration, we will need to revise our views of where this is happening

Challenge

- How can we make new progress in high-energy solar physics?
  - RHESSI follow-ons (γ-rays; HXR focusing optics)
  - A Nobeyama microwave follow-on (FASR)
  - Other radio facilities (ALMA, LOFAR etc…)
  - A dedicated flare ENA observation

Backup slides

Notes on Monte Carlo model

- The calculation includes ion flight with RK4 tracing of the guiding center in a Schrijver-DeRosa PFSS model of the coronal field (Hudson et al., 2009)
- Ion dE/dx from Weaver & Westphal (2003); ion stripping from Barghouty (2000); charge-exchange on K-shell minor ions from Kuang (1992); ionization equilibrium from Mazzotta
- The plots show successive ion and neutral flights (red) for a few particles with different fates