X-ray and γ -ray observations of solar flares

H.S. Hudson^{*} Space Sciences Lab, UC Berkeley

<u>Overview</u>

- The impulsive phase
- Non-thermal flare emission; hard X-rays, UV/WL, μ waves
- The collisional thick target model
- Challenges for the collisional thick target model
- Summary

*With major help from Lyndsay Fletcher U. of Glasgow, UK

A flare/CME seen in EUV and X-rays



Red RHESSI 6-12 keV, blue 50-100 keV, gold images TRACE 195A

Impulsive phase and gradual phase



Impulsive phase:

- > few tenths of the total flare energy released (up to 10^{32} ergs)
- Significant role for non-thermal electrons
- CME acceleration

Impulsive Phase Spectrum



X-Rays

X-rays observed by e.g. RHESSI are primarily electron-proton bremsstrahlung from energetic electrons (>15 keV)



- Non-thermal bremsstrahlung: $E_e >> kT$ and photon spectrum $I_{h\nu} \sim (h\nu)^{-\gamma}$
 - not a significant energy loss: ~ 10^{-5} of the energy radiated as X-rays
- Thermal bremsstrahlung: $E_e \sim kT$ and photon spectrum $I_{hv} \sim e^{-hv/kT}$
 - significant energy loss from electrons in a hot gas
- Free-free, free-bound, and bound-bound (line) transitions

Gamma-rays

Nuclear de-excitation lines caused by bombardment of nuclei by <u>10-30 MeV protons;</u> also neutron emission



Production of nuclear de-excitation lines



Neutron capture line at 2.23 MeV - n(p,γ)D

- shows location of 10s of MeV protons

 $\Pi_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay continuum shows ~ 100 MeV;

e⁺ annihilation line (511 kev) complicated

Radio waves

Basic opacity (hence emissivity) of the plasma is the *free-free process*, which depends on $n_e n_i$, and T_e . Prominent in the flare gradual phase.



Fast electrons of the impulsive phase emit *synchrotron emission*. Depends non-linearly on several parameters including **B**.



Metric and decimetric Type III bursts are often *plasma radiation* produced by electron beams (from Langmuir waves at $f_p \sim n_e^{0.5}$).

Upward and downward-going *beams* sometimes observed, occurring at peak time of HXR emission. *Spectrograms* reveal the dynamics.

Footpoints and Looptops



Usual behavior:

low energy X-rays with a thermal spectrum are emitted high in the corona (at the tops of flaring coronal loops)

Higher energy X-rays with a power-law spectrum are emitted at the footpoints of flare loops.

Krucker 2002

Other Impulsive Phase Emission

UV/EUV, H_{α} and (sometimes) optical emission demonstrate excitation of lower atmosphere



Yohkoh HXR contours on 195A emission

Optical/UV/EUV emission from heat deposition / ionization / collisional / radiative excitation

White-light luminosity can be directly measured.



80

^{ўд} 40 IОМ

20

0

20

80

MDI píxels E-W

White-light footpoints

100

120

pixels (N–S)



Role of 'white light' in total flare luminosity

Substantial fraction of total flare energy radiated in broadband UV-IR

In Oct-Nov 2003 flares, integrated irradiance ~ $3 - 6 \times 10^{32}$ erg

Spectral modelling \Rightarrow 40-50% of this at $\lambda \ge 1900$ Å, ~ 100 times soft X-ray irradiance



Total Irradiance Monitor on SORCE



The 'standard' 2D cartoon (for orientation)



Schmieder, Forbes et al. 1987

(http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons)

The bigger view



Collisional thick-target model

Collisional thick target model has dominated interpretations of flare nonthermal emission for > 3 decades.

Assumes hard X-ray emission is primarily electron-proton bremsstrahlung from electron beam, accelerated in the corona and stopped in chromosphere



Thick target energetics / beam fluxes

In thick-target theory, can use HXR photon spectrum to calculate parent electron spectrum in chromosphere (Brown 1971).

The inferred requirement on electron number is - 10^{34} - 10^{36} electrons s⁻¹ (ie coronal volume of 10^{27} cm³, n = 10^9 e⁻ cm⁻³ should be emptied in ~10s)

Beam density can be inferred using white-light footpoint areas as a proxy for beam 'area'.



Beam power & number fluxes from HXR & WL

date	class	WL area (cm ²)	P > 20keV (erg s ⁻¹)	N > 20keV (e ⁻ s ⁻¹)	P/cm ² (ergs cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	N/cm ² (e ⁻ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
07/26/02	M1.0	4.0 × 10 ¹⁶	2.0 ×10 ²⁷	4.7 ×10 ³⁴	5.0 ×10 ¹⁰	1.2 ×10 ¹⁸
10/04/02	M4.0	1.0 × 10 ¹⁷	3.4 ×10 ²⁸	6.6× 10 ³⁵	3.4 ×10 ¹¹	6.6 ×10 ¹⁸
10/05/02	M1.2	7.0 × 10 ¹⁶	2.0 ×10 ²⁷	4.7 ×10 ³⁴	3.5 ×10 ¹⁰	6.7 ×10 ¹⁷
10/23/03	M2.4	1.0 × 10 ¹⁷	6.4 ×10 ²⁸	1.6 ×10 ³⁶	6.4 ×10 ¹¹	1.6 ×10 ¹⁹
07/24/04	C4.8	1.3 × 10 ¹⁷	1.6 ×10 ²⁸	2.9×10 ³⁵	1.2 ×10 ¹¹	2.3 ×10 ¹⁸

Fletcher et al (2007)

At speed v ~ 0.5c, beam density is comparable to coronal density!

Theoretically, this beam cannot propagate stably through corona (e.g. Brown & Melrose 1977, Petkaki et al. 03)

Acceleration in the corona requires a *high fraction* of a *large volume* of electrons to be accelerated to *high energies*

'Volumetric' acceleration:

Wave-particle turbulence (e.g. Larosa et al, Miller et al)

Stochastic current sheets (e.g. Turkmani et al)

Betatron acceleration (Brown-Hoyng, Karlicky-Kosugi)

Diffusive shock or shock drift acceleration (e.g. Tsuneta & Naito, Mann et al)

Reconnecting X-line or current-sheet acceleration

Multiple X-lines/islands (e.g. Kliem, Drake)

Single macroscopic current sheet (e.g. Litvinenko & Somov, Somov & Kosugi)

The 'standard' 2D cartoon (for orientation)



Schmieder, Forbes et al. 1987

(http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons)

Acceleration in the corona requires a *high fraction* of a *large volume* of electrons to be accelerated to *high energies*

'Volumetric' acceleration:

Wave-particle turbul Stone of the second state of the second state

Reconnecting X-line or current-sheet acceleration

Multiple X-lines/islands (e.g. Kliem, Dume Single macroses, high fraction, low volume Som High energy, high fraction, cet (e.g. Litvinenko &

Summary

- Impulsive phase emission requires 10-50% of flare magnetic energy.
- Emissions usually interpreted as bremsstrahlung, heating & excitation by non-thermal electrons in the chromosphere.
- Thick-target hard X-rays imply more electrons accelerated than can be easily supplied by corona.
- At inferred fluxes, a beam/return current system cannot propagate stably
- The acceleration process remains unknown.

Alternative scenarios?

- Much smaller number of electrons accelerated in corona and then 'reaccelerated' locally in chromosphere (MacKinnon 06, Brown et al.)
- Flare energy transported by high-speed Alfvén waves to chromosphere and dissipated there (Emslie & Sturrock 82, Fletcher & Hudson 08)



Understanding the impulsive phase requires physics beyond ideal MHD



Fletcher & Hudson 2008

http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons/

Conclusions

 The impulsive phase of a flare (acceleration phase of associated CME) is the most important energetically

The physics is challenging because it intimately involves both large scales and particles

 Flare physics (astrophysics) has much to learn from space plasma physics

A TRACE movie that shows everything





Microflares now, major flares soon



RHESSI microflare locations, 2002-2007