Relationships between flares and CMEs

H.S. Hudson

Space Sciences Lab, UC Berkeley

Contents

- Historical stuff
- Energy and field
- RHESSI coronal hard X-ray sources
- Conclusions

Historical high points

- Recognition of CME phenomenon as distinct and geoeffective
- Clear evidence from the January 1997 event (Webb et al., 1998) that yes, CMEs can happen without “flares” **A**
- Clear association of CME dynamics with compact, low-lying structures (X-ray dimming; Dere et al. 1997)
- The ill-considered controversy on causality arising from the “myth” debate **B**

B



CME and flare energies are well-correlated after all!
- Burkepile et al. 2004

A



"…remarkably weak and unimpressive…"
- Webb et al. 1998

Density, field, energy

QS





AR 10486

NLFFF excess
50% contour





Wheatland et al. method,
NLFFF by J. McTiernan

Density, field, energy   WG 2!

QS





AR 10486



NLFFF excess
50% contour



Wheatland et al. method,
NLFFF by J. McTiernan



Sudol & Harvey (2005), flare of 2003 Oct. 29,
line-of-sight field differences



Flare of 2001 Aug. 25:
GONG + GOES

The changes are stepwise, of order 10% of the line-of-sight field, and primarily occur at the impulsive phase of the flare



Other examples with GOES times

Timing of acceleration phase and dimming



Zarro et al. 1999



Zhang et al. 2001

Implosive motion



Hudson 2000



Veronig et al. 2005

TRACE movie: dimming and implosion



Disk occultation



Frost & Dennis 1971



$$\cos\theta = \left[\left(\frac{R}{R+h}\right)^2 \times \sec^2\psi - \tan^2\psi\right]^{1/2}$$

McKenzie 1975



Hudson 1978

Splendid RHESSI observations, courtesy Säm Krucker I





Splendid RHESSI observations, courtesy Säm Krucker II





Splendid RHESSI observations, courtesy Säm Krucker III



Lin et al. 2002

Coronal hard X-ray sources

Table 1 Coronal hard X-ray sources: representative parameters

Type[a]	Phase[b]	Archetype event (d/m/y)	Number studied	Height Mm	E_{obs} keV	F_{30}[c]	γ[d]	Density cm^{-3}	Δt Min	Scale Mm	Velocity[e] $km\ s^{-1}$
Early	(1)	23/07/2002 [1]	3	20	<100	10	5	$\sim 10^{10}$	5	5	small
Masuda	(2)	13/01/1992 [2]	<10	20	25-50	0.2	3-4.5	$<10^{9}$	2	5	small
Coronal thick	(2)	14/04/2002 [3]	∼5	20	<50	1	6-7	$\sim 10^{11}$	15	10	small
Fast ejecta	(2)	18/04/2001 [4]	10	>100	<100	0.1	4	$\sim 4 \cdot 10^{9}$	5	>20	$\sim 10^{3}$
High coronal	(2-3)	16/02/1984 [5]	10	>100	<100	0.1	3-5	$<10^{9}$	5	>20	$\sim 10^{3}$
Superhot	(3)	27/06/1980 [6]	many	20	<40	100	Th	–	5-30	–	–
Double	(2)	15/04/2002 [7]	3	30	15-25	–	Th	$\sim 10^{10}$	∼3	10	complex
Occulted	(2-3)	2/12/1967 [8]	many	20	10-50	0.5	4-7	$\sim 10^{10}$	1-30	10	small
Late phase	(3)	30/03/1969 [9]	10	40	30-250	2	2	–	10-100	–	–
MeV	(3)	20/01/2005 [10]	3	20	$200\text{-}10^{3}$	2^{f}	2	$\sim 10^{10}$	10	<20	–
Footpoints	(1-3)	21/05/1980 [11]	many	–	$5\text{-}10^{3}$	100	2-5	$>10^{12}$	0.1-30	<3	–

[a] Not intended as a classification scheme
[b] Event phase: (1) pre-impulsive; (2) impulsive; (3) late
[c] Maximum reported, in ph/(cm^2 sec keV) at 30 keV
[d] Th = Thermal
[e] Apparent radial velocity
[f] Extrapolation

[1] Lin et al (2003)
[2] Masuda et al (1994)
[3] Veronig and Brown (2004)
[4] Hudson et al (2001)
[5] Kane et al (1992)
[6] Lin et al (1981)
[7] Sui and Holman (2003)
[8] Zirin et al (1969)
[9] Frost and Dennis (1971)
[10] Krucker et al (2008b)
[11] Hoyng et al (1981)

Krucker et al. 2008

What are the coronal sources?

- Large numbers of fast electrons trapped stably in coronal mirror geometries
- Early-phase sources (cf. Masuda event) are mysterious and probably really important
- Possibility that the tail of the electron distribution is the dominant pressure
- Moving sources may wind up being identified with the filament region of the CME

Prototype moving source



Hudson et al. 2001

Background information (flare models)



The "CSHKP" model
(Anzer & Pneuman 1982)



The thick-target model
(L. Fletcher)

New description of the impulsive phase



Fletcher & Hudson 2008

http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons/

New description of the impulsive phase



Fletcher & Hudson 2008

Importance of Poynting flux!

http://solarmuri.ssl.berkeley.edu/~hhudson/cartoons/

Conclusions

- Flares and CMEs are normally closely related
- We need to study the low corona -chromosphere - transition region to understand powerful CMEs
- We have coronal nonthermal signatures in hard X-rays from the new RHESSI observations