# Flare energy and fast electrons via Alfvén waves

H. S. Hudson & L. Fletcher SSL/Berkeley and Glasgow U.

#### **Motivations for this work**

- I Difficulties with the electron beam for energy transport
  - Return current physics
  - Number problem
  - Self-field and stability issues
  - Lack of evidence for directivity/albedo
- II Observation of large-scale field deformations at the photosphere
  - Wang and others; Sudol-Harvey 2005
  - Magnetic reconnection as a source of large-scale waves

#### **Demise of the thick-target model?**

- Footpoint spatial scales have become very small and make extreme requirements of energy transport by a beam:
  - unstable particle distribution functions
  - strong self-field (inductive) effects
  - the "number problem"
- The "dentist's mirror" of albedo shows no significant X-ray directivity (Kontar & Brown, 2006)
- The occurrence distribution of >100 keV events shows no significant directivity (Datlowe et al. 1974; Kasparova et al. 2007)





Flare of 2001 Aug. 25 GONG + TRACE 1600A

**Figure 2**. Flare-related photospheric field changes. They are stepwise, of order 10% of the line-of-sight field, and primarily occur at the impulsive phase of the flare (Sudol & Harvey 2005)

Other examples, with GOES times



### **Replacing the thick-target model**

- Large-scale restructuring => flare energy
- Large Alfvén speed in the low corona
- Energy transport by Alfvén-mode waves, not electron beams



Fletcher & Hudson 2007

#### **High Alfvén speeds**

- The literature often underestimates active-region field strengths and Alfvén speeds;  $v_A \sim 0.1c$  must be considered likely (500 G, 10<sup>9</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) at h = 10<sup>9</sup> cm
- The "magnetic scale height" in an AR is of order 10<sup>9</sup> cm (Brosius & White, 2006)
- Wave propagation times are short enough for conjugacy
- The condition  $\beta < m_e/m_p$  is also certainly possible, hence "inertial" Alfvén waves



*Figure 4*. The time required for wave propagation from a given height through a hydrostatic coronal model grafted onto VAL-C. Dotted line marks the VAL-C transition region, dashed line the temperature minimum.

## Possible mechanisms for electron acceleration

- Parallel fields in inertial Alfven-wave energy transport
- Fermi acceleration between wave front and chromospheric field
- Turbulent cascade development in reflected/modeconverted waves

## What is an inertial Alfvén wave?

- Inertial effects become important in Ohm's law
- Electric field parallel to B develops



*Figure 5.* Simulations of electron distribution function(Kletzing, 1994) evolving with time as the wave passes a fixed point



*Figure 6. Ratio of propagation time to phase-mixing time, for various combinations of horizontal wavelength and field strength.* 



Figure 7. Perpendicular electric field of wave.



*Figure 8.* Ratio of parallel field to Dreicer field for perpendicular wavelenths 0.5, 5, 5 km for density  $10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and temperature  $10^6$  K.



*Figure 9. Ratio of Fermi to ion-viscosity damping times in the chromosphere. It is greater than unity in the corona.* 

#### Unknowns in the new scenario

- The partition of flare energy release into Alfvén mode and fast mode is unknown
- The fast-mode energy probably is lost to the system, but there is no consensus on the damping mechanism and no clear observations in the impulsive phase
- There are mechanisms for diffuse electron acceleration, but again no consensus in the literature
- The time-of-flight analysis of Aschwanden et al. may be in conflict with this mechanism

#### Conclusions

- We propose a variant of the standard thick-target model for energy transport in the impulsive phase: large-scale Alfvén waves
- Efficient and prompt energy transport requires a high Alfvén speed in the low corona, which we find appropriate
- There are several possible avenues for electron acceleration and we do not have a full theory